



Ar-Rayah Newspaper

Issue 585 (4 February 2026)

([Translated from Arabic](#))

[Editorial: A Witness from Within the International Order Testified That It Is a Huge Lie Page 2](#)

[Feature Article: Answer to Question: The Events in Syria and the Retreat of the Syrian Democratic Forces Page 7](#)

[A Warning to the States Participating in Trump's Board for the Colonization of Gaza Page 18](#)

[Will You Muslims Return to the Sources of Your Strength: Your Deen and Your Khilafah \(Caliphate\)? Page 21](#)

[Normalization and Investment: The Two Pillars of the New US Policy in the Arab East \(Part One\) Page 23](#)

[Motives and Implications of America's Withdrawal from 66 International Organizations Page 28](#)

[Islam is Coming to Overthrow the Systems of Disbelief, Not to Adorn Itself with Them Page 33](#)

[When Corruption Becomes a Tool of Ruling Governance, Not Just An Administrative Flaw! Page 35](#)

[The Functional Role of Erdogan's Turkey in Facilitating Normalization with the Jews Page 41](#)

[The Uzbek Regime Joins the Board of the Occupation of Gaza Page 45](#)

[What Awaits the Islamic Ummah when the Khilafah \(Caliphate\) is Established Soon, By the Permission of Allah ﷻ Page 47](#)

The factors of the unity of the Islamic Ummah are numerous and almost countless. It will not be long, by the Permission of Allah ﷻ, until the Ummah regains control of its affairs, and astonishes the whole world again with its movement that will shake the thrones of the tyrants, indeed demolish them and eliminate their systems, from which it has never seen any good, in a decisive way, to establish the Islamic State of glory and dignity, in accordance with the Promise of Allah ﷻ and the glad tidings of His Messenger ﷺ the Second Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly Guided Caliphate) on the Method of Prophethood. Allah ﷻ said, ﴿وَيَوْمَئِذٍ يَفْرَحُ الْمُؤْمِنُونَ * بِنَصْرِ اللَّهِ يَنْصُرُ مَنْ يَشَاءُ وَهُوَ الْعَزِيزُ الرَّحِيمُ﴾ "And on that day the believers will rejoice * in the nasr of Allah ﷻ. He gives victory to whom He wills, and He is the Exalted in Might, the Merciful." [TMQ Surah ar-Rum 4:5]

A Witness from Within the International Order Testified That It Is a Huge Lie

(Translated)

By: Ustadh Asad Mansour

Canadian Prime Minister Mark Carney acknowledged the lies upon which the international order established by America and from which his country benefited is based. He called on middle-power states to unite, because it is no longer possible that there is “living within a lie.” This came in a historic speech delivered on 21/1/2026, carrying his views and sharp criticisms, during the World Economic Forum in Davos, Switzerland.

What provoked Carney was Trump’s threat to invade Canada and annex it to the United States, whilst Trump also issued a statement that “Canada lives because of the United States” the day after Carney’s speech. Otherwise, Carney was not provoked by what America has done throughout its dark history, nor by what it did in Afghanistan — killing, wounding, and displacing millions and destroying the country for twenty years under the banner of the international order, and the application of international law — crimes in which Carney’s country participated alongside America as a member of the crusader NATO alliance. Nor was Carney provoked by what America did in Iraq, Somalia, and many countries across Asia, Africa, and Latin America.

Instead, Carney admitted his country’s participation with America, which led the international order, saying, “So, we placed the sign in the window. We participated in the rituals, and

we largely avoided calling out the gaps between rhetoric and reality. This bargain no longer works.” This is because the knife held by America, and the hand of Canada that supported it, has now reached Canada’s own neck through Trump’s statements and threats; otherwise, the bargain would have remained effective. Carney also acknowledged that his country followed America because it benefited from American hegemony over the world, saying: “American hegemony, in particular, helped provide public goods, open sea lanes, a stable financial system, collective security and support for frameworks for resolving disputes.” All of this was in the interest of America and its allies, including Canada, at the expense of weak states.

At the same time, Carney admits their hypocrisy in rhetoric related to freedom, human rights, and helping peoples, while reality exposes them namely, their crushing of human dignity and rights and the plundering of peoples’ wealth. Canada is a member of the colonialist G-7 (Group of Seven), which discusses global financial and political issues to impose its decisions on other countries.

These are capitalist thieves: when they disagree, they expose one another, not because they are truthful, or care about weak states. Carney then turned toward middle-power states and called on them to unite to help him against America, which threatens his country, saying: “the middle powers must act together, because if we're not at the table, we're on the menu.” that is, America will devour them.

Carney confirmed that his country participated in oppressing peoples, when he acknowledged the falsity and corruption of the international order in which it took part, saying, “We knew the story of the international rules-based order was partially false

that the strongest would exempt themselves when convenient, that trade rules were enforced asymmetrically. And we knew that international law applied with varying rigour depending on the identity of the accused or the victim.”

When the accused is a weak country or a Muslim country, international law is applied with severity, as it was applied to Afghanistan, Iraq, Somalia, Sudan, and others.

This international order was established by America after the Second World War and shaped through the United Nations, its Security Council, and numerous international organizations across all fields, in order to dominate the world, impose its authority, and plunder its wealth. It appears that America now wants to reshape the order so that it alone dominates, turning participating states into followers of its decisions, obliged to implement them and provide services to America. For this reason, it established the “Board of Peace” for Gaza, and began working to make it an international board under its presidency, without granting any veto power, as an alternative to the UN Security Council, where five major powers possess veto rights, so that no decision can be taken against them, and America cannot pass any decision without their approval.

Carney admitted that the international order is based on false rules, but the falsehood is not partial, as he claimed. In fact, it is total and foundational. It was devised by European Christian states in 1648 at the Peace of Westphalia to regulate relations among themselves and to stand against the Islamic State. They created an international family to apply the international law they had formulated. However, it collapsed with the First World War. It was then re-established with the founding of the League of Nations in 1919, which itself collapsed after little more than a

decade, as powerful states violated international law and occupied other countries, until the outbreak of the Second World War. After the war, America and Britain announced the establishment of the United Nations and, within it, the UN Security Council, to apply international law upon defeated and weak states.

For a long time, pragmatists, and those bewitched by the West in Muslim lands, were deceived by it, repeating the refrain of the international order, the international community, and international law. They hastened to refer to it, hoping it will do justice to their causes or return to them an occupied piece of Palestine, or establish for them a Palestinian state, even if only in name! Meanwhile, the Jewish entity paid no heed to any of this, and rebelled against the order with American support, carrying out genocide in Gaza, and implementing a policy of starvation, while Canada supported it with weapons and money.

This international order is now staggering; its corruption and falsehood have been exposed. Many states complain of its injustice and arbitrariness, as it allows the powerful to dominate and crush the weak. It appears that those who built the international order have begun to demolish it with their own hands. If their structure collapses, it is glad tidings for all humanity to be rid of this great lie and its evils.

How accurate and farsighted was Hizb ut Tahrir's vision when it explained in its book "Political Concepts" that among the causes of the world's misery is the so-called international law, which should not exist, because it is the command of a ruler, and thus necessitates a global authority to enforce it upon others, according to its interests. Similarly, the so-called international family, whose foundations are false and whose rules are

spurious; and the bloc of major powers, which poses a danger to peace and to other states. The book clarified that work must be undertaken to remove these causes, so that happiness may be achieved for the world, and it outlined a roadmap with precision and clarity. It also made clear that there is no state qualified to lead the world and deliver it from these evils, because all states are entangled in them.

There is no alternative but the Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly-Guided Caliphate) to rescue the world from this misery, and from that great lie and its evils. Its ideology is sound. When it ruled the world for thirteen centuries, it worked to liberate peoples from oppression and misery, established justice, preserved human dignity, granted rights, and secured needs. It neither colonized any country nor plundered any land's wealth. Instead, it safeguarded every land. Therefore, good deeds to establish is right, and supporting those who work for it is also right, even for non-Muslims because it will deliver them from those evildoers who deem that might is right, whilst the weak are obliged to submit and yield to the strong. Evil indeed is their judgment!

Answer to Question: The Events in Syria and the Retreat of the Syrian Democratic Forces

(Translated)

Question:

Events are rapidly unfolding with a swift momentum in northeastern Syria, and the Syrian Democratic Forces (SDF) are losing control over the areas at a very fast pace, with the Syrian regime taking them over. How did all of this happen? How should this be understood given that both the regime and the SDF are agents of America? And despite the American green light to the Syrian government being as clear as daylight in seizing those areas, what is the Trump administration planning in Syria or its surroundings?

Answer:

In order for the answer to the above questions to become clear, we present the following matters:

First: The stages of America's support for the SDF in Syria

1. **The Syrian Democratic Forces (SDF or the QASAD) are a broad alliance that was established in October 2015 with the aim of fighting the Islamic State organization (ISIS/ISIL/DAESH).** It consists of Kurdish, Arab, Syriac, Armenian, and Turkmen fighters. The largest component of the SDF is the People's Protection Units (YPG) and the Women's Protection Units (YPJ), which are responsible for the security and defense of the lands of the self-administration cantons declared in Rojava. (Wikipedia).

America intensified its support for the Syrian Democratic Forces since their establishment in 2015 and since the American intervention in Syria in 2014, which preceded the Russian intervention. American forces provided the SDF with aerial protection and lavished them with financial support and weapons. America's commitment to them reached the point that it killed hundreds of Russian Wagner forces who were attempting to cross the Euphrates eastward in February 2018. America repelled all Turkish statements and efforts aimed at undermining the SDF. Thus, American support for the SDF continued since its founding, providing military air cover as well as political cover, in addition to financial and weapons support, and facilitating its control over the fertile lands around the Euphrates, oil and gas fields, and power stations. America also resisted Turkey's opposition to this American policy in northeastern Syria. All of this was part of America's preparation of tools to fight Islam should the Islamic Caliphate (Khilafah) be launched from Damascus.

2. Today, Trump has seen that the government of Ahmed al-Sharaa is more capable of achieving America's interests in the region, foremost among them two matters: keeping the system of governance in Islam away from Syria, and submitting to the demands of the Jewish entity in Syria and Palestine, such that this entity is not resisted even while it attacks day and night! Therefore, Trump's positions, followed by those of his ministers, regarding the end of the SDF's role and the Syrian regime replacing it in serving America's interests in the region, have become evident. This is no longer hidden, but rather openly declared day and night by the American envoy to Syria, Tom Barrack, during his tours, as well as in the statements of the Turkish and Syrian presidents.

a. Tom Barrack stated: “The role of the Syrian Democratic Forces (SDF) as the “primary anti-ISIS force on the ground” has “largely expired” as the Syrian government is ready to assume security responsibilities,” (Al-Jazeera Net, 21/1/2026).

In another statement on the X platform, Barrack said: “Syria’s situation has “fundamentally” transformed, with Damascus joining the Global Coalition to Defeat ISIS as its 90th member in late 2025. Syria is now “willing and positioned to take over security responsibilities” including control of ISIL (ISIS) detention facilities and camps, (Aljazeera; BBC, 20/1/2026).

He also stated in a lengthy post on his X page, translated by the U.S. Embassy in Syria:

“Today, the situation has fundamentally changed. Syria now has an acknowledged central government that has joined the Global Coalition to Defeat ISIS (as its 90th member in late 2025), signaling a westward pivot and cooperation with the US on counterterrorism. This shifts the rationale for the US-SDF partnership: the original purpose of the SDF as the primary anti-ISIS force on the ground has largely expired, as Damascus is now both willing and positioned to take over security responsibilities, including control of ISIS detention facilities and camps.” (X; CNN Arabic, 21/1/2026).

b. Turkish President Recep Tayyip Erdoğan said on Wednesday that Kurdish forces in northern Syria must lay down their arms and immediately dissolve their ranks in order to reach a solution without further bloodshed, after Damascus granted them a four-day deadline to present a plan for integrating al-Hasakah into the central state. (Al-Jazeera Net, 21/1/2026).

c. The Syrian presidency announced in a statement on Monday that Syrian transitional president Ahmed al-Sharaa held

a phone call with U.S. President Donald Trump. According to the statement published by the Syrian Arab News Agency (SANA), "...the two presidents stressed the importance of preserving Syria's territorial unity and independence, and supporting all efforts aimed at achieving stability. Both sides underlined the need to guarantee the rights and protection of the Kurdish people within the framework of the Syrian state." (SANA; CNN Arabic, 19/1/2026).

Second: From all of this, it becomes clear that America is granting the green light to Syrian President Ahmed al-Sharaa to end the SDF. America today does not hide its intentions, nor does it exert any effort to use diplomatic language. It openly declares that the SDF, as an American tool to fight "terrorism," has ended, and that America now wants to rely on a larger tool, namely the government of Ahmed al-Sharaa. Both are American tools, and America replaces its tools as it wishes. **All of this, together with developments on the ground, points to many matters, including the following:**

1. **The issue of replacing one agent with another agent:** During the ash-Sham revolution, which exhausted America and caused Obama's hair to turn gray, America continued to search for a strong agent capable of governing to replace its agent Bashar, against whom Syria had risen. We stated in an Answer to a Question dated 26/7/2025 that this clarifies that the American plan in Syria "is based on a fundamental premise: replacing one agent with another. For that purpose, Turkey was given the green light to dismantle Bashar's regime and build a new one loyal to it."

Turkey and its intelligence services undertook this American mission and prepared Ahmed al-Sharaa, who was previously

known as al-Julani. Months before the end of the Biden administration, America allowed Turkey to lead the operation of handing Syria over to the new American agent, Ahmed al-Sharaa. Turkey, on behalf of America, contacted Iran and Russia and neutralized their forces in Syria. America requested Bashar al-Assad to hand over the country, and so it happened. The new agent was installed in place of the old one, and Turkey remained the main conduit of America's communication with him.

2. America began demanding that its new agent commit more "prohibited acts," and he began proving his compliance under Turkish pressure. He abandoned the rayah (banner) bearing "Tawhid" and replaced it with a secular flag, issued amnesty for remnants of Bashar, while continuing to imprison young shabab of the Khilafah who are working to realize the glad tidings of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ after this tyrannical rule under which we live: **«...ثُمَّ تَكُونُ مُلْكًا جَبْرِيَّةً فَتَكُونُ مَا شَاءَ اللَّهُ أَنْ تَكُونَ، ثُمَّ يَرْفَعَهَا إِذَا شَاءَ أَنْ يَرْفَعَهَا، ثُمَّ تَكُونُ خِلَافَةً عَلَىٰ مِنْهَاجِ النَّبُوءَةِ. ثُمَّ سَكَتَ»**
"...Then there will be tyrannical kingship, and it will remain for as long as Allah wills it to remain. Then He will remove it when He wills to remove it. Then there will be a Caliphate upon the method of Prophethood." Then he remained silent.

He reduced Qur'an class allocations in schools, and Trump demanded that he not respond to the repeated and severe attacks of the Jewish entity, which even reached Damascus itself. Trump then demanded that he negotiate with the Jewish entity, and rounds upon rounds of negotiations took place led by his foreign minister al-Shaybani without shame or piety—neither before Allah and His Messenger nor before the believers, especially the people of Gaza. The demands of Ahmed al-Sharaa's government from the Jewish entity during these negotiations were so trivial that the criminal Bashar had negotiated beyond

them in the 2008 negotiations sponsored by Turkey before the ash-Sham revolution erupted. By accepting all these “prohibited acts,” America opened a direct political channel with him, in addition to intelligence channels and Turkish channels. The first political channel was the meeting between America’s agent Bin Salman (MBS) and al-Sharaa in Riyadh on 14/5/2025. These channels then expanded, and al-Sharaa received praise from the American president, who later received him at the White House on 11/11/2025, albeit through the back door and without official ceremonies. Trump stated that evening that he was “in agreement” with Syrian President Ahmed al-Sharaa and affirmed that Washington would do everything it could to make Syria successful. (RT, 11/11/2025).

3. Turkish Foreign Minister Hakan Fidan discussed in the White House ways to resolve the existing problems in Syria concurrently with the visit of Syrian President Ahmed al-Sharaa to Washington and his meeting with President Trump. Fidan announced that discussions were held in the White House with U.S. Secretary of State Rubio, the U.S. President’s Special Envoy Witkoff, the U.S. Envoy to Syria Thomas Barrack, and Syrian Foreign Minister As’ad al-Shaybani. U.S. Vice President J.D. Vance later joined the meeting. The participants discussed possible ways out of the current problems in Syria. (RT, 11/11/2025).

4. During this period, America was lifting sanctions on Syria in stages to ensure at each stage that al-Sharaa was proving himself a loyal American agent. Consequently, Syria joined the international coalition to fight ISIS. The U.S. Embassy in Damascus announced on 11/11/2025 that Syria had joined the international coalition to fight ISIS, becoming officially the 90th member. (Anadolu, 12/11/2025). America then lifted sanctions on Syria when President Donald Trump signed the cancellation of the

Caesar Act imposed on Syria since 2019. (Al-Jazeera, 19/12/2025).

Third: During the current events, the SDF forces were withdrawing from the areas, and according to statements by their commander Mazloum Abdi, this withdrawal from west of the Euphrates to its east was upon the advice of the “friends and mediators” (Kurdistan 24 website, 16/1/2026). And certainly America is at the head of these friends and mediators, which had been pushing for the implementation of the SDF agreement with the Syrian government on 10/3/2025: (“Syrian President Ahmed al-Sharaa and the commander of the Syrian Democratic Forces Mazloum Abdi signed on Monday an agreement stipulating the integration of all civil and military institutions affiliated with the Kurdish self-administration within the framework of the Syrian state, according to what the presidency announced...” (Al-Arabiya, 10/3/2025)).

Then the Syrian government signed a second agreement with the SDF, pursuant to which the SDF withdraws and hands over “immediately” the governorates of Deir ez-Zor and Raqqa. The American envoy welcomed it and considered it a pivotal turning point, and that America wants a unified Syria: (The American envoy to Damascus, Tom Barrack, considered that the agreement whose signing was announced by Syrian President Ahmad al-Shara’ with the commander of the Syrian Democratic Forces Mazloum Abdi constitutes a “pivotal inflection point”. Barrack said in a post on the X platform: “This agreement and ceasefire represent a pivotal inflection point, where former adversaries embrace partnership over division,” Barrack praised the agreement, saying it will lead to “renewed dialogue and cooperation toward a unified Syria” (X: Al-Arabi Television, 18/1/2026).

Fourth: The hardline wings within the SDF—especially those cooperating with the Kurdistan Workers’ Party (PKK)—were delaying implementation in the hope that a loophole might open for them in American policy. They insisted that the integration of the SDF into the army be as a single bloc rather than as individuals. Al-Arabiya reported on 17/1/2026, citing the Erbil meeting, that the SDF commander Mazloun Abdi sought to persuade the Americans to allow integration as three divisions within the Syrian army. However, this loophole did not open in the American position, neither at the Erbil meeting nor before it. The government of al-Sharaa then began the offensive—i.e., enforcing the agreement by force—starting from neighborhoods of the city of Aleppo. Consequently, the SDF was compelled to sign a second agreement with the government under which it would “immediately” hand over the governorates of Deir ez-Zor and Raqqa. America endorsed this agreement. As we mentioned earlier, the SDF attempted to delay implementation with every agreement, but the intervention of the Arab tribes and their onslaught against the SDF left no room for that, even though the Syrian president called on the tribes to remain calm. Al-Sharaa said: “We advise our Arab tribes to remain calm and allow room for the implementation of the provisions of the agreement.” Arab militias have joined the army in its clashes with the Syrian Democratic Forces since Saturday (CNN Arabic, 19/1/2026).

Fifth: Thus, events accelerated at a swift pace:

1- The Syrian government announced that the process of integrating SDF fighters would be carried out on an individual basis and not as a bloc or as military divisions within the army and the interior ministry. The government also announced reassurances regarding the population’s “cultural” rights and the granting of citizenships. It then actually began taking control of

areas in the governorates of Raqqa and Deir ez-Zor, entered al-Hasakah governorate, and extended its control over it, leaving the SDF with no room for negotiation except over al-Hasakah. The Syrian Ministry of Defense announced on Monday evening a ceasefire between Syrian forces and the SDF starting at 8pm (about an hour from then) for a duration of four days. This came following the announcement by the Syrian presidency of the reaching of a mutual understanding between the government and the SDF regarding issues related to the future of al-Hasakah governorate (Al Jazeera Net, 20/1/2026). This agreement granted the SDF only a limited number of appeasement measures: the Syrian Arab News Agency (SANA) reported on Tuesday, quoting the Syrian presidency, that the commander of the Syrian Democratic Forces, Mazloum Abdi, would put forward a candidate from the SDF for the position of deputy minister of defense, in addition to proposing a candidate for the post of governor of al-Hasakah, names for representation in parliament, and a list of individuals for employment within Syrian state institutions (CNN Arabic, 20/1/2026). The presidency stated that, in the event of agreement, “Syrian forces will not enter the centers of the cities of al-Hasakah and al-Qamishli and will remain on their outskirts, with the timetable and details related to the peaceful integration of al-Hasakah governorate, including the city of al-Qamishli, to be discussed later.” (BBC, 20/1/2026). The two sides also agreed that Syrian government forces would not enter Kurdish villages, with their security to be undertaken by local security forces from the people of the area. (CNN Arabic, 20/1/2026).

2- After America decided to transfer ISIS prisoners from the prisons that had been under SDF control to Iraq, the SDF requested from America an extension of the deadline until the

completion of the prisoners' transfer, and this is what occurred. The Syrian Ministry of Defense announced the extension of the ceasefire deadline with the Syrian Democratic Forces (SDF) for 15 days in support of the American operation to evacuate prisoners of ISIS/ISIL from the prisons. The Ministry of Defense clarified on its account on the X platform that the extension would begin tonight at eleven o'clock local time, and that this comes in support of emptying SDF prisons of ISIS/ISIL prisoners and transferring them to Iraq (Al Jazeera, 24/1/2026). Thus, the process of closing the page of the SDF and the page of its commander, America's small agent Mazloum Abdi, is underway after he completed the American task, and America ended his services in exchange for a "small retirement salary," namely the appointment of employees here and there—something that may be temporary. For the one who manages events in the region is America, and if its interests require a change in positions, it orders its agents among the rulers to implement it without their eyelids fluttering or any sense of shame touching them. ﴿أَلَا سَاءَ مَا يَحْكُمُونَ﴾ **"Unquestionably, evil is that which they decide."** [Al-Ma'idah:50]

Sixth: It is painful that Syria has become fully submissive to America after all the sacrifices made by its people to change the regime and establish the rule of Islam in its place. America purchases cheap loyalties to secure a crooked chair whose occupant serves America in order to remain seated and control all Syrian territory. He abandons the application of Islam and jihad to liberate occupied land, removes Syria from the confrontation front with the enemy—something even the fugitive criminal Bashar al-Assad did not dare do—and forgets or pretends to forget that throwing himself into America's arms will not preserve his position if America finds a more capable agent.

In those before him are lessons enough. will the rulers, their aides, and their entourages, America's agents, not take heed from the way America brings down its agents, suffices itself with their services, and abandons them after their dreams have sunk, discarding them without remorse and without shedding a single tear for them, after they had spread corruption throughout the land in service to America—only for it then to throw them onto the roadside once it dispenses with their services in favor of a new agent more capable of serving it than the one before him? And true concerning these agent rulers is the saying of Allah the Exalted: ﴿فَأَذَاقَهُمُ اللَّهُ الْخِزْيَ فِي الْحَيَاةِ الدُّنْيَا وَلَعَذَابُ الْآخِرَةِ أَكْبَرُ لَوْ كَانُوا يَعْلَمُونَ﴾ ***“So Allah made them taste disgrace in worldly life. But the punishment of the Hereafter is greater, if they only knew.”*** [Surah Az-Zumar 39:26].

8 Sha'ban 1447 AH

27/1/2026 CE

A Warning to the States Participating in Trump's Board for the Colonization of Gaza

(Translated)



US President Trump signed the founding charter of the Board of Peace during an official ceremony on the sidelines of the World Economic Forum in Davos, Switzerland, with the participation of officials and leaders representing a number of countries. White House spokeswoman, Karoline Leavitt, said during the ceremony, which was attended by a group of leaders who accepted Trump's invitation to join the board, "Congratulations President Trump, the charter is now in full force, and the Board of Peace is now an official international organisation."

The states that signed the founding charter of Trump's Board of Peace are: Jordan, Saudi Arabia, Qatar, Bahrain, the United Arab Emirates, Turkey, Morocco, Kazakhstan, Hungary, Indonesia,

Argentina, Armenia, Paraguay, Pakistan, Kosovo, Azerbaijan, Bulgaria, Mongolia, Uzbekistan, in addition to America, with its president serving as the head of this board.

The idea of this was originally established to oversee the reconstruction of the Gaza Strip, but its charter stipulates much broader tasks, including efforts to resolve other conflicts in various parts of the world. Trump said that the board was going to be “very successful in Gaza.” and “ we can spread out to other things as we succeed with Gaza.”

In light of this reality, which seeks to confer legitimacy upon the colonial ambitions of America and the Jewish entity in Gaza and across the entire Blessed Land of Palestine, a press release issued by the Central Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir affirmed the following:

First: Trump, with his arrogance and haughtiness, is attempting to impose American colonization upon the world and to grant it whatever legitimacy possible. He was not content with abducting the President of Venezuela and his wife; rather, he threatens to seize Greenland, has previously threatened Canada, Mexico, Colombia, Cuba, and other countries, seeks to end the Russian-Ukrainian war in a manner that serves American interests, turns his back on international norms, violates the sovereignty of states, and even seeks to turn many of them into tools to achieve his ambitions.

Second: The criminal is not only the one who commits the crime; rather, everyone who participates in his crime is a criminal like him, everyone who is able to prevent him from committing his crime and does not do so is also a criminal like him, and whoever remains silent about it is likewise a criminal. Therefore, we affirm that all states participating in signing this founding charter, as

well as those that will sign later, are partners in the crime of America's colonization of Gaza.

Third: The Islamic Ummah preserves in its memory everyone who has committed crimes against it, and it will not forget them no matter how much time passes. It will hold America and the states that share in its crime in Gaza, all of Palestine, and elsewhere to account when Allah honors it with His victory and it establishes its Khilafah (Caliphate).

Fourth: Hizb ut Tahrir—the pioneer who does not lie to his people—steadily advances with the sons of the Islamic Ummah and with the people of power and protection within it to establish the second Khilafah on the method of the Prophethood, to unite the Muslim lands under the rayah (banner) of the Khilafah, and to make the armies of the Muslims one massive, overwhelming army that will exact retribution from everyone who has committed crimes against the Muslims. Therefore, we warn you of the magnitude of your crime against our Ummah, and we warn you of the consequences of its anger. So take heed and withdraw from this colonial council before you regret it, when regret will be of no avail, ﴿وَسَيَعْلَمُ الَّذِينَ ظَلَمُوا أَيَّ مُنْقَلَبٍ يَنْقَلِبُونَ﴾ **“And those who have wronged are going to know to what kind of return they will be returned.”** [TMQ Ash-Shu'ara:227]

Will You Muslims Return to the Sources of Your Strength: Your Deen and Your Khilafah (Caliphate)?

(Translated)



Adversity is the true test of men. Is there any greater adversity than this? You are from a great Ummah that does not tolerate injustice. You defeated the Crusaders and vanquished the Mongols, then returned to dominate the world. After the Crusaders and Mongols aimed to annihilate this Ummah, it rose again, conquered Constantinople, and knocked on the gates of Vienna. This was because it returned to the sources of its strength: its Deen and its Khilafah (Caliphate). It then ruled the world, after its enemies had imagined its demise. When they awoke from their slumber, they found their illusions to be mere dreams.

Normalization and Investment: The Two Pillars of the New US Policy in the Arab East (Part One)

(Translated)



By Ustadh Ahmad Al-Qasas*

What we are witnessing today in terms of rapid transformations in the region, in Lebanon, Palestine, Syria and Iraq, where huge events have followed one another at a tremendous speed since the Operation Al-Aqsa Flood, from massacres, destruction and criminal acts carried out by America through its protégé, the Jewish entity, and from the destruction of the power of the Hizb of Iran in Lebanon, and the elimination of the power of Iran in Syria, and then handing over power in Syria to Hayat Tahrir al-Sham... its subject is almost one, which is that America found that the time had come to implement an old

plan, which it had tried to carry out in previous stages, but it had stumbled and failed every time, and now it sees that the opportunity has become available to it.

Since adopting the Jewish entity after its creation by Britain, America's goal has been to establish this entity firmly in the region, transforming it into a natural part of the surrounding region, and to resolve what is called the Middle East issue or the Arab-Jewish conflict, thus achieving full normalization with this entity. However, the complex circumstances in the region and the multitude of actors involved, have hindered the realization of this goal. Moreover, America has been preoccupied with other issues it considers more important, especially during the years of the Arab Spring. Its priority was to thwart these revolutions, particularly in Syria, where it maintained the regime for thirteen years, to prevent the establishment of an independent Islamic state. Consequently, since 2015, it has placed Syria and Lebanon under Iranian custodianship, in addition to the custodianship it already enjoyed over Iraq, and the role it granted Russia in Syria. Furthermore, America places great importance on the Far East region, primarily to counter China and its growing economic power. It perceived the Middle East as having lost its prominence, in favor of focusing on the Far East, containing China, and forging international and regional alliances against it. Therefore, it decided to step back somewhat in the region, delegating roles to other regional powers, most notably Iran and then Turkey, in addition to Russia, while directing events from afar.

It later became clear that the Republican Party was not convinced by the policies adopted by the Democratic Obama administration. Upon entering the White House, Trump withdrew from the nuclear agreement with Iran, began curtailing its

influence, and publicly assassinated Qassem Soleimani, the commander of its Al-Quds Force, in an attempt to seize control of the region. However, his plans failed, and he lost the subsequent election. The Democrats then somewhat repaired relations with Iran, slowing the process of regional change. It was noteworthy, however, that after Operation Decisive Storm, the Democratic President Biden decided towards the end of his term to proceed with the plan to end Iran's influence in the region. The bombings that killed thousands of Hezbollah fighters, and the assassination of almost all of its top two-tier leaders, occurred during his presidency, before the election and before Trump's victory was even clear. Then Trump came to power and quickly moved to complete the mission.

What does Trump want? Quite simply, Trump and the rest of the American political establishment in general have come to an agreement over the need to directly control the region. America no longer wants to delegate guardianship over region of ash-Sham to anyone, and wants to bring in rulers who are directly subservient to it, in Lebanon, Iraq, Syria and the rest of the region, and wants to end the conflict in Palestine and the region with the Jewish entity, and to consolidate its superiority in the region.

What has made America take this approach now? It is a change in its view of the region of ash-Sham. It now sees it as an area of investment potential, and its view of it has become similar to its view of the Gulf states decades ago as an investment ground, due to the expected discovery of large quantities of gas, with enormous economic value, with a strategic value that is no less important than the economic value. America wants the gas of ash-Sham and the Qatari gas, that is supposed to be pumped

through a pipeline extending from Qatar through Saudi Arabia, ash0Sham, and Turkey to Europe, to be an alternative to the Russian gas that America cut off from the Old Continent, by igniting the Russian-Ukrainian war. This is on the one hand, and on the other America wants to sever the economic ties that inevitably reflect on the political relationship between Russia and Europe, and to bring Europe back into the American fold, whilst America will control the supply of Arab gas to Europe.

In addition to the above, this region is also part of America's confrontation with China's Belt and Road Initiative, which stretches from China to Europe. America decided to rival this initiative by establishing a parallel route from India, through the Gulf states, passing through Jordan, and reaching the coast of occupied Palestine. There is a strong suspicion that paving the way for this route is part of the systematic destruction of Gaza, displacing its inhabitants from specific areas and preventing their return, all to secure the area through which this route is supposed to pass. This is not far removed from Trump's talk of building a Riviera-like area in Gaza. We must also remember that a massive reconstruction effort is expected in Gaza, Lebanon, and Syria. It is a well-known tactic employed by the criminal, colonialist state of America when its companies and economy in general face financial difficulties: it resorts to destruction in the name of reconstruction!

Let's recall the Iraq War, when the American army deliberately waged destruction, even after its victory and the fall of the regime. Why? So that Iraq would be in dire need of reconstruction, allowing American companies to come and rebuild, plundering the country's oil wealth. Massive destruction is a valuable asset for America and most countries seeking to

invest. The greater the destruction, the larger the reconstruction efforts. And who will foot the bill now? The Gulf states, as usual. This is a way to extort billions, even trillions, of dollars that flow back into the American economy. Trump's statements were blatantly clear and brazen when he spoke of a Riviera-style tourist zone in Gaza and a Trump Economic Zone in southern Lebanon, just as the Syrian president, al-Jolani, spoke shortly after Bashar al-Assad's fall about building a Trump Tower in Damascus!

These American investment plans require stability in the region, as investment is impossible without it. Hence the importance of Trump's haste in ending the conflict in the region, and moving quickly forward with the normalization process, and the recognition of the occupying Jewish entity by the regimes.

(...to be continued)

*** Member of the Central Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir**

Motives and Implications of America's Withdrawal from 66 International Organizations

(Translated)

By: Ustaadh Ahmed al-Khatwani

After President Donald Trump signed a presidential memorandum ordering the United States to withdraw from 66 international organizations on the grounds that they no longer serve American interests, the Director-General of the World Health Organization, Dr. Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, warned on Tuesday (13/01/2026) that Washington's decision to withdraw from the organization, which officially took effect this January, will make the United States and the world unsafe. Tedros said, "it's not really the right decision," expressing his hope that the United States would reconsider its decision and return to the organization. He added that a large part of the work that the World Health Organization does is beneficial to the United States, "Withdrawal from WHO is a loss for the United States... there are many things that are done through WHO that benefit the US... especially the health security issues that's why I said the U.S. cannot be safe without working with WHO."

This withdrawal encompasses 35 organizations not affiliated with the United Nations and 31 entities affiliated with the United Nations. On 7 January 2026, the U.S. Secretary of State Marco

Rubio commented on the memorandum, saying, President Trump announced the withdrawal of the United States from 66 international organizations identified as part of the Trump Administration’s review of wasteful, ineffective, and harmful international organizations. Review of additional international organizations pursuant to Executive Order 14199 remains ongoing.”

The international organizations from which America withdrew range from those concerned with climate, energy, and the environment, to those dealing with women and population issues such as UN Women and the United Nations Population Fund, or legal organizations such as the International Development Law Organization (IDLO), or even agriculture-related bodies, such as the International Cotton Advisory Committee, along with many other diverse international organizations.

CNN quoted White House sources as saying that this withdrawal will end the funding and participation of American taxpayers in entities that promote globalization agendas, at the expense of U.S. priorities, that it is preferable to direct American taxpayers’ money in other ways, and that this withdrawal will fulfill a fundamental promise made by President Trump to the American people, namely, to stop supporting global bureaucrats who work against the interests of the nation and that the Trump administration will always place the slogan “America First” at the forefront.

These sudden, mass withdrawals by the United States from dozens of international organizations effectively mark the beginning of the practical dismantling of the current international order, and represent a genuine prelude to the creation of new international organizations, based on entirely different foundations.

For example, among the organizations from which Trump withdrew are those related to European partners, such as the European Centre of Excellence for Countering Hybrid Threats, the Forum of European National Highway Research Laboratories, the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP), the Venice Commission of the Council of Europe, and others. All of these withdrawals indicate a clear American break from the Europeans.

As for globalization and all that it entails, in terms of intertwined interests and relations among the countries of the world, America has withdrawn from everything connected to it, to global cooperation, and to international relations, such as the International Trade Centre, the UN Trade and Development (UNCTAD), the Freedom Online Coalition (FOC), the Global Community Engagement and Resilience Fund (GCERF), the Global Counterterrorism Forum, the Global Forum on Cyber Expertise (GFCE), the Global Forum on Migration and Development, the Inter-American Institute for Global Change Research, the Intergovernmental Forum on Mining, Minerals, Metals and Sustainable Development (IGF), the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, and the

International Centre for the Study of the Preservation and Restoration of Cultural Property.

In doing so, the US severed all ties of cooperation with the international order and focused instead on unilateral or bilateral international relations, disregarding all efforts to foster closer global relations between states and societies.

In addition, the United States withdrew from international organizations concerned with Latin America, Africa, and Asia, such as the UN Economic Commission for Africa, the Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean, the Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific, and the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Western Asia. All these withdrawals pertain to Asian, African, and Latin American countries because the United States prefers bilateral relations with these nations, and does not want to establish international relations with them.

Even shared Western civilizational concepts, which the West seeks to make universal, have been disregarded by the United States. It withdrew from the International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance, the International Institute for Justice and the Rule of Law, the United Nations Democracy Fund (UNDEF), the United Nations Alliance of Civilizations, and the International Law Commission. Thus, the United Nations organizations, their laws, their agreements, and adherence to them have become unimportant to the United States, and consequently, no longer binding on the rest of the world. The

United States has stripped them of their binding force, thereby diminishing their prestige, undermining their sovereignty, and destroying their authority.

After this collapse, the world's nations can now seek new frameworks, new norms, and new organizations. America, by withdrawing from its position as the world's leading power, and the guarantor of the current international order, has provided the world, its nations, and its societies with the pretext to begin searching for alternatives and new civilizational and legal frameworks.

The truth is that the countries and peoples of the world today have nothing to fill this void except Islam with its aqeedah, Shariah rulings, because of its ability to offer alternatives with its ideas, proposals and state, and to serve as the civilizational and legal alternative that is acceptable to all societies and peoples of the earth.

Islam is Coming to Overthrow the Systems of Disbelief, Not to Adorn Itself with Them

(Translated)



The continued existence and rise of Islam, even from within the very systems that oppose it, demonstrates that it is the true Deen and that it is inevitably coming to overthrow their systems, not to adorn itself with them. It is coming to establish a just state — the Khilafah (Caliphate) on the Method of Prophethood — not to enter their parliaments or accept their restrictive frameworks.

The mere mention of Islam disrupts their calculations, destabilizes them, and strikes at the very core of their crumbling intellectual order. They have spent vast sums trying to distance people from Islam, yet Islam is the one asserting itself, guiding hearts, transforming perceptions, and confirming to people that it is the truth and the hope for humanity.

In the midst of this intellectual conflict and political struggle, the Shariah obligation of Muslims today is to realize that salvation will not come through superficial participation in systems of disbelief, but instead through a comprehensive transformation that uproots these systems entirely.

Therefore, Muslims today must place their trust in Islam, not in the symbols presented to them from within capitalist systems. They must rally around the only Shariah political project, which is the project of Hizb ut Tahrir, which works day and night, with awareness and insight, to establish the Second Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly Guided Caliphate) on the Method of Prophethood, a great state that establishes the rule of Islam in its entirety, and carries its Risaalah message to the world through Dawah and Jihad.

When Corruption Becomes a Tool of Ruling Governance, Not Just An Administrative Flaw!

(Translated)

By: Ustaad Nabil Abdel Karim

Corruption is neither always a mistake in the state's records, nor a flaw in its administrative mechanisms, nor a result of weak competence nor a result of a lack of resources, as the state often tries to convince its citizens.

In some countries, corruption is more organized than the law itself, more effective than official institutions, and a more honest expression of the nature of the ruling system. There, corruption is not managed in secret; it is managed openly without being named. It is not treated as an exception to be fixed, but as a tool of governance.

In official discourse — especially in dependent states — corruption is presented as an administrative deviation, or individual behavior, caused by weak oversight or flawed laws. However, this description, despite being common, does not explain the depth of the phenomenon, its ability to survive for decades, or the repeated failure of most anti-corruption efforts.

This explains why corruption in many regimes is not an accidental defect, but a central tool of ruling governance, consciously managed and used to control elites, redistribute loyalties, and ensure survival in power.

In this context, the right question is not: Why did the state fail?

Instead, it is: How did the regime succeed in surviving, despite the failure of the state? How did public funds turn from a resource for service, into a tool of control? How did corruption turn from an administrative flaw into a complete system of rule?

Accordingly, states can be classified into three main types:

1. Fragile, authoritarian, dependent states: where corruption turns into a parallel system indeed, the backbone of governance.

2. Stable states: usually major capitalist world powers, governed by the positive laws (Latin: *ius positum*, man-made laws), where corruption is an exception, within a system that basically works.

It is because of these major world powers that Muslim countries live with corruption and suffer from it. The major powers preserve this corruption because they are the biggest beneficiaries of it, using it to protect their interests and ensure the dependence of local rulers.

3. Ideological states: which change the very soil in which corruption grows, so it does not arise in the first place.

We will examine each type separately.

First: Corruption from a tool of rule to a political environment

In this type of state, corruption is not discussed as a moral sin, but as a political choice and a structure of governance. These regimes rely on a delicate balance among multiple centers of power: military, economic, tribal, party-based, or sectarian. It is because they usually lack real institutional legitimacy, that they turn to corruption as a way to guarantee loyalty.

Seizing public funds, controlling contracts, and escaping accountability are allowed — but not for everyone. This “permission” is conditional on political loyalty. Corruption thus

becomes a double-edged sword: a reward for loyalists, and a permanent means of blackmail, since their cases can be opened at any moment.

As for “anti-corruption campaigns” in these states, they are usually politicized from the start. They target individuals, not the system, and are used to settle domestic conflicts within the regime itself. These campaigns only mobilize when power balances change, when elites need to be reshuffled, or when warning messages must be sent to specific actors. In this way, fighting corruption becomes a purely political tool, not a genuine reform project.

In these countries, the relationship between the ruler and the ruled is not based on taxes and accountability, but on the distribution of spoils. Corruption is granted in the form of exclusive contracts, import monopolies, land and real estate, and positions distributed for plunder, based on loyalty, not competence. Sensitive institutions - the security and military apparatus, “the state’s stick” - are also given economic privileges and immunity from accountability, not because the regime overlooks this, but because its survival depends on keeping them satisfied.

When disputes arise within the ruling elite, corruption cases suddenly appear, through carefully planned leaks. This confirms that the corruption was known and accepted from the outset, but the person’s position in the balance of power has changed. These regimes are often linked to a major power they depend on, and even in times of international conflict, individuals change, but the system does not.

This model represents most Arab countries, Latin America, parts of Asia, and most of Africa—that is, structurally dependent states.

The tragic part is that countries of the “Arab Spring,” or those that experienced regime change, mostly returned to their previous condition. It is as if no model is allowed in Muslim lands, except corruption as a tool of rule, and dependency as a fixed destiny - something the West is keen to maintain.

Corrupt regimes do not fall when corruption increases, but when the regime can no longer distribute spoils. When the network of loyalties collapses, and a force outside the system emerges that cannot be contained by corruption, dominant powers rush to ride the wave, and reproduce corruption with new faces - except in cases of real, radical change.

Second: Stable states

These are the major powers whose systems of ruling governance are based on the positive laws (Latin: *ius positum*, man-made laws). Corruption exists in them, but it is a deviation **within** the system, not a tool **of** the system. In most of these countries, corruption is exposed and punished, and - at least in theory - there is no absolute political protection and no one is above the law.

However, this does not apply when major interests are at stake. In such cases, the system is bypassed through political money, powerful lobbies, and actors who stand above formal authority - the so-called “deep state.”

These states try to fight corruption without uprooting it. Corruption remains embedded within the positive laws (Latin: *ius positum*, man-made laws) itself, which is based on compromise solutions. At its core, this law legalizes multiple forms of corruption, under the label of “freedoms,” stemming from the

capitalist ideology that separates religion from life and prioritizes the individual over society.

Today, we are witnessing the beginning of the decline of stable states as capitalism loses its meaning and international law becomes selective. These states were built on three interconnected pillars, all of which have begun to erode:

A regulated economy: now largely absent, with severe inflation, early signs of recession, and repeated financial crises - results of the capitalist ideology itself.

A domestic legal system regulating the relationship between state and society: where the gap has widened in unprecedented ways, enabling the rise of far-right extremist parties.

Commitment to international law: which has sharply declined - not only with respect to dependent states, but even among independent ones - especially under the global bullying practiced by the United States, which has relegated international law to its worst ever condition and state.

When states begin to lose their commitment to this system, they do not collapse suddenly. Instead, they enter a phase of slow deterioration, becoming states that are independent in name, fragile in substance, and functionally isolated.

Third: The ideological state

This is the state that changes the very soil in which corruption grows, so it does not arise in the first place. Such a state, in its complete form, does not exist on the international stage today - but there are those working to restore it. In the near future, by the Permission of Allah (swt), it will appear and show the world that the solution always lay in its existence.

It is the Khilafah (Caliphate) state, which adopts Islamic principles as its constitution. Islam is a rational creed from which a divine system emerges.

Islam does not allow corruption to exist at all - so how could it allow it to become a tool of governance? There is a clear difference between corruption occurring as a human error, and corruption being legalized or adopted by the regime.

In the Islamic ideology, corruption is a violation of Islamic Shariah Law for which a person will be held accountable in the Hereafter, and for which the state will hold them accountable in this world. Corruption is not limited to money; it includes oppression, the breaking of justice, consuming people's rights, and turning authority into personal spoils.

In Islam, authority is a trust, not a privilege. There is no such thing as "political corruption." The ruler — whether a Khaleefah (Caliph) or a waali (Shariah governor) is questioned, held accountable, and removed if necessary.

Thus, the Islamic state does not claim immunity from human error, but it establishes a system of ruling governance that never reconciles with corruption. It is built on caring for people's affairs and applying divine Shariah rulings that regulate a person's relationship with themselves, with their Lord, and with others.

Once this method is adopted as a way of life, society becomes upright and justice spreads, because this Deen alone is capable of liberating people from servitude to other people to servitude to the Lord of people, and from the Dhulm (oppression) of man-made systems, to the justice and light of Islam.

The Functional Role of Erdogan's Turkey in Facilitating Normalization with the Jews

(Translated)

By: Ustaadh Mu'nis Hamid – Wilayah Iraq

Normalization with Jews is no longer a surprising event, or an exception in the political landscape. Instead, it has become a carefully managed process in which roles are distributed among major powers and regional states, and soft tools are used to reshape public awareness, and dismantle moral and political resistance.

In this context, the Turkish role stands out as one of the most complex and confusing, not because it leads the normalization project, but because it performs the malicious function of paving the way and containing opposition.

Erdogan presents himself in his political and media address as an advocate for the Palestinian cause, and an opponent of Jewish aggression, employing highly emotional language in defense of Al-Quds and Gaza. Yet when this rhetoric is subjected to critical scrutiny, it reveals that Turkey maintains full diplomatic relations with the Jews, that its trade relations with them continue to grow steadily, and that security coordination persists, in sensitive regional issues.

This contradiction between rhetoric and action cannot be explained as fleeting duplicity. It is a deliberate policy aimed at absorbing Muslim popular anger, while preserving integration into both the regional and international orders.

Herein lies the danger of the Turkish role: Normalization without shock, and concession without declaration.

The Syrian arena is among those most affected by Turkish policy, given the military presence, control over wide areas in northern Syria, influence over armed factions, and grip on the sector of refugees, the economy, and border crossings.

In this context, Erdoğan does not push Syrians toward normalization with the Jews through direct pressure, but instead subjects them to a longer and more effective track, based on exhaustion and the reordering of priorities, so that Syrian awareness is gradually reshaped, and livelihood concerns are placed ahead of the greater causes.

Turkey performs a distinctive role in the normalization process, becoming a bridge between rejecting populations, and normalizing regimes, a psychological mediator that softens the shock, and an instrument for containing Islamic awareness without direct confrontation, because it does not lead the project, but contributes to passing it with the lowest possible political cost.

The most dangerous forms of normalization are not those imposed by military force, but those passed off in the name of

realism, wrapped in the language of interest, and marketed after the exhaustion of peoples, until they lose confidence in the possibility of change.

Within this framework, the Turkish role becomes a model of what can be called silent normalization, that which eliminates rejection, without announcing acceptance.

Normalization, marketed in the name of realism, is nothing but postponed surrender. Therefore, remaining silent on this path is not neutrality, and keeping quiet about it is not wisdom. Instead silence is indirect participation in liquidating the issue and re-engineering the region to suit the Jews.

Normalization with the Jews is neither a transient political matter, nor a tactical option, subject to the balance of interests. At its core it is an ideological and moral stance, before it is a political one. Palestine is not a border-dispute territory but occupied Islamic land, whilst the Jewish entity is not a normal state but one founded entirely on occupation, aggression, and the violation of covenants.

The most dangerous aspect of the normalization path is turning it into a negotiable subject for pragmatism, a course that contradicts the Shariah constants of Islam. Islam makes the rejection of injustice and occupation an integral part of loyalty and disavowal (الولاء والبراء), and a Shariah obligation from Allah (swt). Allah (swt) said, ﴿ وَلَا تَرْكَبُوا إِلَى الَّذِينَ ظَلَمُوا فَتَمَسَّكُمُ النَّارُ وَمَا لَكُم مِّنْ ﴾ **﴿And do not incline toward those who do wrong, lest you be touched by the Fire, and you would**

not have other than Allah any protectors; then you would not be helped ﴿﴾ (Hūd: 113).

So, normalization is not just a signing, but a redefinition of haq (truth) and baatil (falsehood), and whoever loses the battle of definition loses the battle of awareness before losing the battle of the land.

O Peoples of the Islamic Ummah, what surrounds you is not a passing event but policies being drawn up with you as the target. Awareness is not an intellectual luxury. It is a Shariah obligation that preserves the Deen and safeguards dignity. Know what is being plotted against you, distinguish between truth and falsehood, and do not become a tool in the hand of those who mislead or exploit.

The Uzbek Regime Joins the Board of the Occupation of Gaza

(Translated)

The official spokesperson for the President of Uzbekistan, Sherzod Asadov, announced the acceptance of by President Shavkat Mirziyoyev of Trump's offer to join the Board of Peace, as a founding member. In his letter to Trump, Mirziyoyev described the initiative as "a significant step toward resolving enduring conflicts in the Middle East and promoting peace and stability across the wider region."

Ar-Rayah Newspaper: Although the Uzbek regime promotes the slogan of a "neutral and balanced foreign policy" in its official policy, joining a structure launched by the United States, and closely linked to the interests of the Jewish entity, effectively constitutes an abandonment of neutrality. Its membership in the "Board of Peace" makes it a direct partner in American policy in the Middle East, particularly in the crimes committed in Gaza. This decision will impose significant political, financial, and even military obligations on the state, including:

1- Diplomatic support: Strengthening the Board's activities and involving other countries. Accordingly, Uzbekistan will have to support the Board's activities on the international stage, legitimize it, and take effective diplomatic measures to involve other countries in this structure. This practically means becoming a supporter of American initiatives.

2. Financial Commitment: According to the plan, the Board will establish international funds for the reconstruction of Gaza. Obtaining a permanent seat will cost one billion US dollars. This is

a heavy financial burden for a country suffering from domestic problems.

3. **Military and Security Obligations:** Board members will be obligated to send their forces under the pretext of disarming Gaza and ensuring security. This would increase the risk of Uzbekistan being directly drawn into a spiral of military and political conflicts.

A practical solution to the Gaza issue requires a political force capable of eliminating the Jewish entity from the Blessed Land of Palestine, and expelling the forces that support it from the Muslim World. This capable political force is the Khilafah (Caliphate). Therefore, Muslims must do good deeds to establish the Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly Guided Caliphate) on the Method of Prophethood.

What Awaits the Islamic Ummah when the Khilafah (Caliphate) is Established Soon, By the Permission of Allah ﷻ

(Translated)



What awaits the Islamic Ummah upon the establishment of the Khilafah (Caliphate), by the Permission of Allah ﷻ, is not an impossible dream or a historical fantasy, but a divine promise and a historical precedent. With the establishment of the Khilafah (Caliphate), the political unity of the Ummah will be restored, artificial nationalistic borders will disappear, Muslims will unify under one Rayah banner, and ruling governance will be based on the Shariah Law of Allah ﷻ. Justice will be achieved, rights will be protected, wealth will be distributed equitably, and the Ummah's resources will be invested for the benefit of its citizens, not for the benefit of colonialists.

With the establishment of the Khilafah (Caliphate), the Ummah will regain its international standing, not as a subordinate in an unjust world order, but as a major world power carrying an alternative civilizational project based on justice and mercy. It will address all of humanity with Islam, not with coercion and exploitation, but with persuasion and reasoned argument.

Moreover, the establishment of the Khilafah (Caliphate) is not merely one political option among many, nor an intellectual project open to debate. Instead, it is a Shariah obligation incumbent upon the entire Ummah, and neglecting it is a sin for which they will be held accountable before Allah ﷻ. Throughout history, the Ummah has collectively agreed on the Shariah obligation to establish a Khaleefah (Caliph), and that neglecting this Shariah obligation is a grave sin. The passage of more than a century since the absence of the Khilafah (Caliphate) does not absolve one of blame. Instead, it increases responsibility and makes the questioning on the Day of Judgment all the more severe: Why did you accept alternatives and remain silent about the suspension of the ruling governance of Allah ﷻ?

Therefore, we affirm that doing good deeds to establish the Khilafah (Caliphate) is the Shariah obligation of our time. It is a fundamental political and intellectual endeavor, based on restoring Islam to the center of life, cultivating an aware public opinion about this Shariah obligation, and seeking nussrah (military support) from those with military power and protection within the Islamic Ummah, just as the Prophet Muhammad ﷺ did when he established the state in Madinah.