بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

Answer to Question

The American, Russia, Iranian Dilemma in Syria!

(Translated)

Question:

Answer:

As for there being an America, Russian and Iranian dilemma in Syria then that is correct. It is also correct to say that it is to the highest degree an American dilemma because Russia and Iran represent auxiliaries to the US policy in Syria... As for whether this means that land intervention is expected to take place soon then this needs to be examined based upon the direction of events heading to... To understand the true reality of what is taking place it is necessary to take note of the following points:

- Υ In an uncustomary manner America announced the start of bombing in Syria launching from the Mediterranean and this represents the first time that America has implemented bombing like this within the region being launched from the Mediterranean since the occupation of Iraq in Υ··Υ. On ·٩/·٦/Υ·١٦, Russia Today cited news from the American newspaper, "The Wall Street Journal mentioned that the US aircraft carrier Harry S. Truman made an unplanned diversion from the Gulf to the eastern Mediterranean last week—a quick pivot intended to send a clear message to Russia."

- [£] And finally, there was the visit by the Deputy Crown Prince Mohammad Bin Salman and his meeting with the President Obama on \\(\frac{1}{1}\frac{1}\frac{1}{1}\f
 - ∘ Scrutinising these host of visits, meetings and statements makes the following evident:
- A America feels like there has been a great failure in Syria as the negotiations have lost their momentum, some of its leaders have fallen away, America has not found a replacement for Assad, the revolution in Syria has not lost its vitality even whilst it is applying pressure against the negotiators. By revising what America has accomplished in terms of progress on the Syrian front, it becomes apparent that the most significant accomplishment was bringing the armed groups in to participate in the political process (the Riyadh delegation and Geneva). The announcement of the cessation of hostilities on TV/.T/T represented the major American hope to squeeze the Syrian revolution within the corridors of the political process in order to produce an alternative or replacement for Assad without a pressure on the ground from the revolution. This has been accompanied by the raising of voices noticeably within the armed movements who participated in the Riyadh delegation, voices which were against the political process, which have formed pressurising factors upon these military movements and other groups. In addition to the displeasure of the people from the path being taken by some of the movements within the political process representing a pressurising public opinion... All of that has generated an intensive military activeness against the regime, whether this was true or temporary to regain the confidence of the people, which as a consequence has led to regaining strategic regions in the south of Halab (Aleppo) upon a number of stages (the Battles of Al-'Ais, then Khan Touman and what came after them). All of that has dealt a heavy blow to the American-Russian announcement of a cessation of hostilities. There is therefore no longer any point in the continuation of the Geneva negotiations when the situation on the ground has exploded and which represents a virtual death bullet to the political path. And consequently America is in a dilemma.
- B The battles in the south of Halab (Aleppo) from an on-ground perspective represent a great defeat for the Iranian gathered fighters and its followers. Iran has therefore requested more ground forces within Syria. With the losses and small number of victories, other obstacles have been added to that related to the lightening of the economic embargo against it after signing the Geneva Iranian nuclear deal which led to a deficiency in monetary allocations for the Iranian military action in Syria. Therefore, Iran has in fact exhausted and drained itself in its military assistance to Assad. Iran has as such requested an injunction from America for help from Russia and it was this reason that the meeting of defence ministers in Tehran took place... which means that Iran is also in a dilemma.
- * In addition to its great hostility towards Islam and its great fear of the Islamic nature of the Syrian revolution, Russia also saw an opportunity, via its intervention in Syria, to make prominent and restore the Russian might that had been lost since the collapse of the Soviet Union. It was eager to prominently display its air and space power, its calibre missiles in addition to its capability of bombing Syria from the Caspian Sea and Mediterranean. It had expected that these brutal attacks would accomplish the American purposes and force the people of Syria to negotiate with the regime upon the American conditions, but that failed.
- * Russia also wanted to break the international isolation and penalties that had been imposed upon it after it annexed Crimea and set the East of Ukraine alight. And this never happened but rather the indignation of the states increased against it and the abyss expanded dangerously between it and the European Union which not only cast accusations but also alluded to the

international criminal court. Britain was the most severe of the European states in its indignation against Russia and it was followed by Germany which had begun to place Russia under the category of 'foe' or enemy in its 'white' book. And so the German Chancellor, during the G^{\vee} Summit held in Japan on $\Upsilon^{\uparrow}/ \cdot \circ / \Upsilon \cdot)^{\uparrow}$ refused to even discuss the subject of lightening the sanctions against Russia.

- * Russia is a weak state economically and it cannot continue to spend upon a war that is distant from its borders and particularly whilst it is struggling under western sanctions and it been weakened by the falling oil prices. The bleeding of its expenditures in Syria cannot be burdened for a long period. Attached to the Russian spending is its financial commitments to the Russian fighters in Syria which according to the Russian defence ministry numbers \(\frac{1}{2}, \cdots \) people: \(\frac{1}{2}, \cdots \) military and civilian Russians have participated since September \(\frac{1}{2}, \cdots \) in the war taking place in Syria in accordance to what the law passed by the Russian (parliamentary) representatives clarified, on Tuesday, in relation to affirming the situation of 'the old fighter' for this war." (Sky News Arabic \(\frac{1}{2}, \cdots \))
- * Russia is becoming very fearful about the future of its agreement with America in Syria and that relates to when the American administration changes over after the Presidential elections in November ۲۰۱٦. For that reason, Russia hopes to finish its war task in Syria before Obama's administration leaves office or that its intervention takes place in accordance to an openly declared agreement with America. Due to that, Russia has been, and in a continuous manner, requesting to bring out its coordination with America in Syria in an open manner, a matter which America is turning its face away from... And so it requested the undertaking of joint American-Russian strikes against the groups that violate the 'cessation of hostilities' but America refused to do that...
- * And it is a strange misunderstanding of Russia that makes it consider itself a partner to America in the very least in respect to the Syrian issue and so it wishes to interpret the meetings between Lavrov and Kerry, meaning the decisions related to the Syrian crisis, to be an open military alliance in Syria. As such Russia does not understand that the bilateral Lavrov-Kerry meetings had been devised by America in order to prevent the European states from interfering within the Syrian crisis and to confront the prominent appearance of Islam within the Syrian revolution. America utilises Iran and its followers on occasions and utilises Russia on other occasions. Despite that, Russia driven by its folly in respect to its imagined greatness believes that it is America's partner in Syria. This explains Kerry's statements in regards to America's patience being very limited in respect to Russia in Syria, meaning that it is demanding a quick push to save the collapsing forces of Assad in the south of Halab (Aleppo). It also explains Lavrov's surprise and disproval at Kerry's statements and his call to America to be endowed with patience. So America views Russia to be chess piece that's in its hand whilst Russia views its intervention in Syria to represent a model of international partnership with America!... For all of these factors Russia is also in a dilemma.
- 7 In this way America is in a dilemma, Russia is in a dilemma and Iran is in a dilemma, and as we mentioned earlier it is all in fact an American dilemma of the first degree. For this reason, this situation has represented a great difficult for America whilst (at the same time) there has been a major depletion of the forces of Assad, Iran and its supporters. It is evident that America has seen that Iran has exhausted itself in a non-simple manner in Syria and that the Iranian military intervention, even if it extends the life of the regime in Damascus, will nevertheless not assist in bringing a solution to Syria. And in the backdrop of Russia's failure to deal decisively with the situation in Syria by forcing the people of Syria into submission before the tyrannical regime in spite of the barbaric bombing and use of burning missiles, in the backdrop of this failure, the options open to American respect to Syria have become extremely difficult. This is particularly as it is currently in the elections period and each of the two parties, the Republicans and Democrats, will both attempt to exploit this period to display the shortcomings of one another. That is in addition to the memorandum of the diplomats who view that that America should intervene itself... America, therefore makes it appear that it is interested in looking into the issue of intervention... And it sent its aircraft carrier Harry S. Truman from the Gulf to the Mediterranean... And it bombed Syria from the Mediterranean... And it called up the Saudi Defence Minister Mohammad Bin Salman and he met with President Obama in the Oval Office, which is a rare occurrence for non-heads of state, which makes it appear to the one following that the purpose and aim (of the meeting) is distinctly military!

√ – Despite that the current policy of the US administration, as indicated to by the statements of its officials, is that the military intervention is primarily in the hands of the subordinates, followers and agents... The spokesman in the name of the US secretary of state for foreign affairs, Kerry, announced that America has not changed its policy in respect to Syria and Kerry said whilst commenting upon the possibility of a change of Washington's policy in regards to Syria: "We still believe that a political resolution in Syria is the most preferred solution". And Kerry also reiterated that the administration of the current US president, Barack Obama, will remain focused upon generating a peaceful solution to the Syrian crisis until the end of his time in office (Russia Today, Times Newspaper, "That the White House has struggled to downplay the fallout from a leaked internal State Department cable signed by more than or midlevel diplomats slamming the White House's Syria policy and calling for "targeted [US] military strikes". Whilst White House spokeswoman Jennifer Friedman said the administration is "always open to new and different ideas when it comes to the challenges in Syria" but she stressed that Mr. Obama simply "does not see a military solution to the crisis in Syria, and that remains the case". And the newspaper added that "the cable marks the latest in a pattern of frustration from current and former officials since Y.IT... many of whom had worked within Obama's administration itself".

Summary:

- 1 It is true that America is in a dilemma... As for a US military ground intervention, then it is most probable that this will be delayed for a time. That is because the current US administration is working for the ground fighting to be undertaken by the subordinates, agents and followers and that this will continue until the end of Obama's period in office... That is unless new matters outside of the (current) context arise.
- Y However, the matter that is eye catching is that despite the absence of an international struggle in Syria, like that which is present in Libya and Yemen, and indeed the only international 'Struggler' is America which utilising alongside it Russia, Iran, the regime, subordinates and followers to conduct their various barbaric crimes... Despite that, America and its subordinates have failed to make the people of Syria submit until this very day to implement the US plans and participate with the tyrannical regime within the ruling. That is whilst the side and party that America is struggling against is the Syrian people with its material means and capabilities that do not compare to the capabilities of those states. In spite of that Ash-Shaam remains resilient against the covetous aims of those states, the subordinates and followers! The reason for that is the great Islam which has activated and moved the people of Ash-Shaam to resist the Kufr (disbelief), its people and oppression and its supporters... It is the great Islam that fills the hearts of the truthful and sincere... Even if Islam is activated within the hearts of some of the people in an emotional manner without being accompanied by the same level of thought... And even if it is motivated within the hearts of some others for purposes which are not entirely upright and correct... However, the Islamic sentiments represents the dominant flavour and colouring within the atmospheres whilst the Islamic thoughts are proclaimed openly by many... This is what has made America fail until this day: The rays of Islam within Ash-Shaam even if it has not yet been embodied within a state that unifies and gathers together the Ummah, so what would the situation be like if it had done that? In any case, this matter has that which follows it:

"And those who have oppressed will know to which return they will be returned to" [Ash-Shu'ara: ۲۲۷].

TYnd Ramadan 15TY AH **۲۷/・7/۲・17 CE**

Hizb ut Tahrir Official Website | The Central Media Office Website | Ar-Rayah Newspaper Website | HTMEDIA Website **Khilafah Website** www.khilafah.com www.alraiah.net www.htmedia.info