

The Truth of the British Referendum on the Brexit Project!

(Translated)

Question:

The British Parliament voted on 16/1/2019 on the motion of no confidence on May's government, but she won: (British Prime Minister Theresa May escaped defeat on Wednesday in the House of Commons following a motion of no confidence on her government tabled by the Labour opposition. She won confidence by a majority of 325 votes to 306 votes. ... the result of the vote on Wednesday shows that almost 100 member of the Conservatives Party who voted against the agreement on Tuesday on Brexit, returned on Wednesday and voted against the no-confidence on the government ... AFP 16/1/2019) How do we understand this? About 100 of the May's Party voted against her Brexit project allowing the opposition to win, leading to the failure of the May project by 432 votes to 202. Then the 100 MP's come back and voted against May's opponents, allowing May to win. As if it is distribution of roles! And what is the explanation of the previous approval of Brexit on 2016, but now before its implementation by about two months, it is rejected?! May Allah reward you with the good.

Answer:

Britain is skilled in malice and savvy, and in maneuvering and procrastination to achieve its objectives. To get the answer, we review the following things:

First, we will state some of what we mentioned in our publication of 5/7/2016 after the referendum of 23/6/2016, i.e. about two and a half years ago, when we expected what took place in the current British referendum 15/1/2019:

[1- A referendum was held in Britain on 23/6/2016 on staying in or leaving the European Union. The result was about 52% to leave, after which Prime Minister Cameron announced his resignation and that the government remains for three months. In his election campaign, Cameron promised that he would conduct a referendum according to Britain's habit of waving a referendum to achieve special privileges, by scaring the European Union and other member states of the political and economic chaos of the referendum resolution, if Britain leaves the Union.

2- The British policy of threatening a referendum to secure gains from the EU is not a new matter but rather it has done this since the early years of Britain's entry into the European structure. Britain had become a member of the European Economic Community (EEC) since January 1973. Its keeping of the "Pound Sterling" currency unit and its remaining outside of the Schengen zone represented two prominent signs of the special status that Britain enjoyed in spite of its membership of the EU. It had used the idea of the "referendum" about remaining in the EU as a means to blackmail the European states in order to achieve more privileges for Britain inside of the EU. It held a referendum in 1975 to improve the conditions of its remaining in the Union which the British people went on to vote in favour of remaining within the EEC, and so the British referendums to achieve the purposes of the policy followed in such cases, even if they are malicious purposes! The Tory Party proceeded cunningly in the 2016 referendum, and the party men ran the campaign to stay in the union and get out of it at the same time!

3- By scrutinising the policy of the ruling conservative party that Cameron leads in respect to the issue of the mentioned referendum, then it indicates that Cameron had expected the results to be inconclusive, like if it would be tied, so that it would be a matter of accepting or rejecting so that there would be room for a repeat (re-run) or to take such inconclusive results as room for new negotiations with the EU. It is for this reason that the conservative party itself was managing the campaign to remain in the Union in addition to the campaign to exit from it. Neither of the campaigns were actually serious about staying or leaving, as much as they were both serious

about the referendum representing a path to achieve extra concessions from the EU. For that reason, it is expected that Britain stalls leaving in the short-term and indeed it could be extended to a number of years. That's if it leaves whilst it skilful in the use of dirty tricks and deception. And in respect to what is apparent and evident from the comments, and what the media outlets have carried in terms of statements; all of that makes stalling in respect to implementing the referendum most likely, but even further like twisting and turning moves in respect to the referendum itself (30 of Ramadan 1437 AH - 05/07/2016 CE)] End quote.

Second: What is happening now almost speaks of what we have already said in terms of "the referendum game" and delay and spin to achieve British interests, even if they are evil. This is confirmed by understanding the following:

1- May has received a major defeat in the House of Commons after "the exit agreement reached with the European Union by a large majority was rejected, in the biggest parliamentary defeat received by a government in the history of the country ... On Wednesday 432 MP's voted with rejection on the agreement," (BBC, 16/1/ 2019). This means that the agreement, which was designed to bring Britain out of the European bloc on March 29th, will not be implemented. The exit discussions did not follow the lines of the traditional ruling Conservative Party instead 118 Conservatives party members voted in parliament against the prime minister's agreement, and joined the opposition parties. (This is the biggest loss in the British Parliament since 1924 ... the result is the worst parliamentary defeat of the British government in the modern era ... (CNN Arabic 15/1/2019)".

2- The leader of Britain's opposition Labour Party, Corbyn, announced after the parliament's rejection of the kingdom's exit from the European Union that he had tabled the no-confidence motion on the government of Theresa May for discussion. He said: "This government has lost the confidence of the Council ...I want to inform you, Mr. Speaker, that I tabled the motion of the vote of no confidence for discussion... I am pleased that this proposal will be discussed tomorrow" (RT Arabic, 15/1/2019), but the result of the vote was in favour of May because the 118 members of the Conservative Party returned to vote with the Conservative Party against the opposition, and they prevented the vote of no confidence on May, and so "the British Prime Minister Theresa May on Wednesday, 16/1/2019 escaped a defeat in the House of Commons following the failure of a no-confidence motion on her government submitted by the Labour Opposition, after her defeat on the Brexit agreement . But her government won the confidence by 325 votes to 306 votes, which halted the holding of new general elections. This is the first time to have a vote of confidence on a government in the House of Commons for 26 years. The opposition leaders called for a meeting with her for talks on Brexit immediately and starting on Wednesday. The result of Wednesday's vote showed that the nearly 100 MP's of the Conservative Party who voted Tuesday against the agreement on the Brexit returned on Wednesday and voted against a no-confidence motion against the government." (Agence France-Presse, on 16/1/2019). Thus having an opportunity until Monday, 21/1/2019) to present an "alternative plan", and she has a number of options, such as a pledge to return to negotiations in Brussels, or to ask to postpone the Brexit date, Scheduled for March 29th, 2019, or exit without agreement! The President of the European Commission said: "I call on Britain to clarify its intentions as soon as possible. There is not much time" (News site on 17/1/2019)

3- Thus, the British referees' game seems clear; instead of a no-confidence vote for May on 16/1/ 2019, because her Brexit project failed on the 15/1/2019 referendum, May won the confidence vote although the fall of her project and her confidence win are contradictory issues! But if you know why, it adds more mystery!! 118 of the May's Party voted against the May project, so added voices to the opposition that led to the failure of May's project! But those 118 members of the May's party returned and voted against the opposition, i.e. against the no-confidence vote for May, and so May won the confidence vote and did not fall by the fall of her project!

4- Understanding the above, it is clear that the 2016 referendum was intended to create a justification for Britain to improve the terms of the new relationship with the European Union. This is why May allowed 118 members of her party to vote against her with the opposition in order to sway the opposition and strengthen it so that her Brexit project fails! If she wanted the 2016

referendum to remain solid, she would have not allowed these numbers of her party to vote against it, but when the no confidence vote was made, those numbers were made to vote against the opposition so that May is saved! This was in order for May to continue to blackmail the European Union to obtain privileges and interests and set the conditions for a new relationship with Europe. Britain cannot have a final departure from Europe neither remain with the current laws of the Union. The final exit has a great impact on the cohesion of the peoples of Britain itself, especially Scotland as well as Northern Ireland, and therefore it wants to remain but on its terms, or if there is no choice but to exit, then it should be able to have privileges at the expense of the Union!

5- On its part, the EU urged the UK to "clarify its intentions" on Britain's exit plans from the EU "as soon as possible ..." He warned of the risk of a chaotic exit with this vote." (CNN Arabic 15/1/2019) End. We have already mentioned in our publication after the 2016 referendum.

"It appears that Europe has comprehended and realised Britain's games and so it wishes to make an unofficial deal to protect its interests upon the basis of the Norwegian and Swedish model before resorting to working with Article 50 of the Lisbon Treaty to begin the separation procedures. However, unlike Norway and Sweden, Britain wants to enter the European market but is against the free movement of people, which represented the main issue for the British electorate. Merkel excluded that possibility categorically as the free-movement of people is one of the freedoms that the EU sanctifies alongside the freedom of exchanging goods, services and capital. The EU perceives the British cunning. As such, the President of the European Commission, Jean Claude Juncker, said: "Let me be very clear; we can't have secret attempts to take the British Government aside, to become secret, informal negotiations" and he said: "I have made a very clear command to all Commissioners and Commission staff; there can be no secret negotiations, no secret negotiations" (Evening Standard, 28/06/2016). Merkel, the German Chancellor, directed a strong message to London when she said: "Whoever wants to leave this family cannot expect to shed all its responsibilities but keep the privileges" (DPA 28/06/2016)] End quote.

6- However, Britain does not take the European Union's knowledge of Britain's intentions seriously but continues in the last referendum game on 15-16/1/2019 which cancels the 2016 referendum on the Brexit project. It does not remove confidence on May, the engineer of the project, but it renews confidence for her to start a new project and an alternative plan! Especially to address the issue of Ireland, whether remaining or leaving, and so it was, the media reported the plans of May on this:

a- British Prime Minister, Theresa May, told parliament on Monday, 21/1/ 2019, (her "alternative" plan, after MPs rejected her agreement with the European Union, on "Brexit". Britain will leave the European Union on March 29th, without agreement, if MP's cannot postpone the date of withdrawal, or to reach an alternative plan that satisfies the European Commission as well ... After May's plans are set on how to move forward, the MP's will introduce a series of amendments, to be voted on, on 29/1/2019. One of the most controversial points in the agreement rejected by parliament is the "back stop" clause, a security guarantee of free movement in the Ireland borders. If Britain cannot agree with the European Union on a long-term free-trade treaty, the Sunday Times reported that May would propose separate arrangements with Dublin. AFP on 21/1/2019) end

b- The Telegraph newspaper quoted unnamed EU diplomatic sources as saying that (May's demands continue to range from setting a legally binding time frame to the Irish border, giving Britain the right to unilaterally withdraw or to commit to a trade agreement before 2021, which prevents the implementation of special arrangements for the issue of the Ireland borders ... News of Libya on 21/1/2019) end.

c- (May announced on 21/1/2019 that she intends to return to Brussels to discuss amendments to its agreement with European leaders last month on the issue of the "back stop", which is supposed to avoid a return to the establishment of effective borders between the 2 Irelands after Brexit. May said: "I will continue to meet with my colleagues this week - including the

officials of the Democratic Unionist Party of Northern Ireland - to see how we can abide by our duties," including avoiding the return of borders, "in a way that would secure the widest possible support" in the House of Commons. She continued: I will show the conclusion of these talks to the European Union." The 27 other European Union countries are monitoring closely the next step to be taken by May ... (France 24 / AFP in 21/1/2019) End

d- May revealed some changes in her position on Brexit. The prime minister pledged to "show more flexibility" in talks with the parliament and to meet the demands of the opposition Labour Party to ensure the rights of British workers and remove all fears about the return of strict customs procedures on the border between Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland. It is planned that Theresa May submitted to the British Parliament a new plan on the conditions for the UK's withdrawal from the European Union, after Parliament rejected on 15/1/2019 the first plan agreed between the government of May and Brussels. The British Parliament is due to vote on the new plan on 29/1/2019. (RT Arabic 21/1/2019).

7- The conclusion is that Britain has no commitment to any agreement, but changes and changes through the referendum game, and it is skillful, clever and cunning in this matter to achieve its evil interests. Yesterday, the British referendum approved the Brexit project and today the British referendum approved to drop the Brexit project! This was in order to blackmail the European Union to bring about amendments to the project at the expense of the Union. Although the capitalist West has no fixed values, but Britain through its history of colonialism has earned more cunningness and evilness than others. Britain does not want the final break up from the Union but wants another formula neither to exit nor to stay! That is to leave the Union and at the same time remain in it! So it continues to benefit from the federal relations without complying with the laws of the Union, but only with what is in its favour. And as mentioned earlier, the German Chancellor Angela Merkel, after the first referendum and the emergence of the British procrastination, sent a clear message to London: "Whoever wants to leave this family cannot expect to shed all its responsibilities but keep the privileges" (DPA 28/06/2016). The European Union can make Britain suffer the consequences if it insists on the First Brexit project without modifying any of its clauses. It is either to be accepted and implemented by Britain or Britain exits the Union. If the EU does this, Britain would have fallen in the evil of its work; if it accepts Britain's conditions then Britain will dictate to it until it brings it to its end without it being aware!

This is the case of the nations that adopt secularism of the state and the capitalist ideology. They dig deep holes for each other that a group of them or all the parties will fall in. They have no values that deter them or ethics to forbid them, but the fight among them is severe as other nations who do not abide by the law of Allah.

﴿بِأْسِهِمْ بَيْنَهُمْ شَدِيدٌ تَخَسِبُهُمْ جَمِيعاً وَقُلُوبُهُمْ شَتَّى ذَلِكَ بِأَنَّهُمْ قَوْمٌ لَا يَعْقِلُونَ﴾

"Their violence among themselves is severe. You think they are together, but their hearts are diverse. That is because they are a people who do not reason" [Al-Hashr: 14].

This world will not be a better place and the misery that engulfs it will not depart unless Allah's law is established in ruling by the establishment of the Khilafah Rashida (guided Caliphate). It will not only spread justice and good in its own lands, but it will propagate this justice and good to the world by Allah's permission.

﴿وَلَتَعْلَمُنَّ نَبَأَهُ بَعْدَ حِينٍ﴾

"And you will surely know [the truth of] its information after a time." [Sad: 88]

19 Jumada Al-Awwal 1440 AH

25/1/2019 CE